Developmental psychologists have identified a discrete group of children who, despite similar biological or behavioral susceptibilities, either wither or bloom depending on the environments in which they are reared. The differential-susceptibility hypothesis (Belsky, Bakermans-Kranenburg, & van IJzendoorn, 2007) and the related theory of biological sensitivity to context (Boyce & Ellis, 2005) contend that within the right rearing environments, individuals with traits that make them susceptible to environmental influences will achieve levels of adaptation that regularly exceed those of their less susceptible, presumably hardier peers (Ellis, Boyce, Belsky, Bakermans-Kranenburg, & van IJzendoorn, 2011). However, if susceptible individuals are born into environments that afford constant diets of adversity, their susceptibilities will function primarily as vulnerabilities that predispose them to many of the worst outcomes possible.

Because of the provocative nature of such theorizing, the search is on for specific susceptibilities as well as for specific environments that foster or fetter such traits. Recent tests of these theories using physiological indices of susceptibility have been limited mostly to older children, which limits researchers’ ability to identify these susceptibilities earlier in life when children are presumably most responsive to experience (Beauchaine, Neuhaus, Brenner, & Gatzke-Kopp, 2008). In the present study, we investigated infants’ physiological susceptibilities in the context of what is arguably the most important early human environment, the primary attachment relationship.

How a baby reacts and recovers physiologically to environmental conditions is prognostic of later adjustment (Rothbart, 2011). One physiological measure that has received a great deal of attention in the regulatory literature because of its association with attentional and emotional systems is respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA), a noninvasive measure of vagal (parasympathetic) influences on the heart (Porges, 2007). Previous work has shown that high baseline RSA buffers older children against their parents’ marital conflicts (El-Sheikh, Harger, & Whitson, 2001) and prenatal substance exposure (Sheinkopf et al., 2007). However, such associations are much less straightforward in infancy. On the one hand, baseline RSA appears to be a particularly sensitive marker of the degree to which infants are attuned to their environment for better and for worse (Beauchaine, 2001; Propper, 2012). Infants with...
higher baseline RSA tend to express more negative reactivity to arm restraint, cry more in response to complex stimuli, and exhibit more pain reactivity (Fox, Schmidt, & Henderson, 2000). On the other hand, infants with higher baseline RSA exhibit greater levels of sustained visual attention, express more interest and positivity toward strangers, and smile more while playing (Fox et al., 2000). Higher baseline RSA thus may be a type of biological susceptibility that makes infants sensitive to both the affordances and perturbations of their early rearing environments (Beauchaine, 2001).

Although a genetic basis for RSA has been reported (Kupper et al., 2005), baseline RSA appears to be remarkably sensitive to early caregiving experiences (Propper, 2012). We believe that emotion-regulatory processes within the primary attachment relationship shape infants’ physiological response to and recovery from stress (Calkins & Hill, 2007; Conradt & Ablow, 2010). In the study reported here, we examined the attachment relationship as Bowlby (1969/1982) and Ainsworth, Blehar, Waters, and Wall (1978) defined it, specifically, as an index of the qualities of the early caregiving environment rather than as a measure of individual differences.

We chose to examine secure and disorganized attachment environments because they are an ecologically valid indicator of the caregiving environment in which the infant is raised that takes into account both positive and negative rearing conditions, an essential step in examining processes of susceptibility (Belsky & Pluess, 2009). Caregiving environments that foster security and disorganization also represent caregiving extremes and may interact very differently with infants’ physiological susceptibilities (Solomon & George, 2011). In the one instance, increased engagement with the environment may be adaptive, enabling some young children to take advantage of positive rearing conditions. In the other instance, increased engagement may reflect vigilance that, in the short term, protects young children from the distressing aspects of their caregiving environments (Ellis et al., 2011). However, this increased vigilance can come at a cost. Chronic exposure to stress taxes the system in the form of bodily wear and tear and increased likelihood of disease (McEwen & Stellar, 1993) and psychopathology (McEwen, 2003). Thus, children who are more physiologically attuned to a negative or harsh caregiving environment may be unable to cope with the effects of their heightened sensitivity, which would lead to poor developmental outcomes.

Beyond the immediate caregiving environment, infants’ biobehavioral development is deeply embedded in broader psychosocial contexts with known effects on long-term outcomes (Shonkoff, Boyce, & McEwen, 2009). Poverty is one such environmental circumstance that can augment the effects of early attachment conditions (Belsky & Fearon, 2002). Caregivers living in poverty often experience levels of stress that compromise their efforts to provide children with warm and supportive parenting (Repetti, Taylor, & Seeman, 2002). It is unclear whether infants’ biological characteristics will operate as added forms of susceptibility (i.e., in a manner for better and for worse) against the pernicious backdrop of poverty. There may be conditions of poverty that both trump the most secure caregiving environments and have no affordances that can interact adaptively with heightened forms of susceptibility.

Previous research has found that infants with greater negative emotional reactivity (Pluess & Belsky, 2009), infants with specific allelic variants (Bakermans-Kranenburg & van Ijzendoorn, 2006), and children who are more physiologically reactive (Obradovic, Bush, Stamperdahl, Adler, & Boyce, 2010) are more susceptible to their rearing environments. The central aim of the current study was to extend this search for specific forms of susceptibility that operate during infancy. It is of critical importance to determine whether (a) these traits are both present and detectible early in life, when they are presumed to be most dependent on early experience for their finished form (Boyce & Ellis, 2005), and (b) whether these traits are predictive of later problems in adaptation. We tested the hypothesis that, compared with infants with lower baseline levels of RSA, infants with higher baseline levels of RSA would display low levels of problem behavior in toddlerhood if raised in environments that foster security but display high levels of problem behavior if raised in environments that foster disorganization.

**Method**

**Participants**

Participants were drawn from a prospective longitudinal study that followed 105 women at risk for parenting problems and their infants across the perinatal period. Details on enrollment and exclusion criteria are described elsewhere (Conradt & Ablow, 2010). We examined data from 95 dyads that participated in a laboratory visit when the infants were 5 months old (M = 20.99 weeks, SD = 2.55; 53 female, 42 male) and from 86 of the dyads that returned when the toddlers were 17 months old (M = 17.6 months, SD = 1.76; 48 female, 38 male). At the prenatal assessment, mothers’ mean age was 24.11 years (SD = 4.77, range = 18–38). Mothers were primarily European American (81.0%), with 2.9% African American, 5.8% Hispanic, 3.8% American Indian, 1% Asian, and 5.7% identifying themselves as “another group.” Detailed information regarding the demographics of our sample is shown in Table 1. Our analyses were limited to the 73 infants of this sample who were classified as secure and disorganized.

There were no mean differences in baseline RSA and problem behavior among infants classified as avoidant and resistant and among those classified as secure and disorganized (all ps > .27). In addition, there were no significant demographic differences between dyads with complete physiological and attachment data and those with missing data or whose infants were classified as avoidant and resistant.

**Procedure**

**Baseline RSA at 5 months.** When infants were 5 months old, dyads watched a 2-min Baby Einstein video from the Baby Mozart Discovery Kit (The Baby Einstein Co., http://www.babyeinstein.com) while the infant sat on the mother’s lap.
This assessment was used to examine infants’ RSA while in a relaxed state that did not involve contending with a stressful stimulus.

Infant physiological responses were collected with a 21-channel Bioamplifier (JCA-09; James Long Co., Caroga Lake, NY). Physiological channels were sampled continuously with low-pass filtering at 1000 Hz. High-pass filtering was recorded at 0.03 Hz. Epochs containing artifacts were edited manually for each channel. Editing the files included identifying outlier points relative to adjacent data and replacing them by determining the time between successive interbeat intervals (IBIs). Data files in which more than 2% to 3% of the data needed to be edited were not included in the analyses. The data were then scanned graphically using the Statistical Analysis System (Version 9.1; SAS Institute, Cary, NC), and outliers were removed. Outliers that were more than 3 standard deviations above or below the mean were removed and replaced with the mean of the episode. Infants with and without complete, usable physiological data did not differ in age or gender.

RSA was computed using respiration and IBI data according to Grossman’s peak-valley technique (Grossman, Karemaker, & Wieling, 1991). The difference between the minimum IBI during inspiration and the maximum IBI during expiration, in seconds, was used to calculate RSA. The difference was computed twice for each respiration cycle; once for each inspiration and once for each expiration. Using this method, RSA was computed without being affected by arrhythmia due to baroreceptor, thermoregulation, and tonic shifts in heart rate.

### Table 1. Descriptive Data for Individual Risk Items and the Cumulative Risk Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk-score item</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Risk present (%)</th>
<th>Criterion for risk being considered present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prematurity</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Less than 37 weeks gestational age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perinatal medical complications</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Any medical complication (excluding jaundice)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>Single mother status at 5 or 17 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Less than a college education at 17 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household income</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>Less than $20,000 at 5 or 17 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant negative emotionality (Garstein &amp; Rothbart, 2003)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>−6.18−8.21</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>Score ≥ 1 SD above the mean: (activity level + distress to limitations + fear) – (smiling + soothability)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver depression (Radloff, 1977)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 months</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>0−37</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>CES-D score above 16 (clinical cutoff) at 5 or 17 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 months</td>
<td>12.09</td>
<td>9.38</td>
<td>0−44</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family resources (Dunst &amp; Leet, 1987)</td>
<td>136.24</td>
<td>17.22</td>
<td>86−174</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>Score ≤ 1 SD below the mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaos (Matheny, Wachs, Ludwig, &amp; Phillips, 1995)</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1−4</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>Score ≥ 1 SD above the mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative risk score*</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0−6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CES-D = Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale.

*Each dyad received 1 point for each risk item for which the criterion was met.

### Attachment measures at 17 months.

When toddlers were 17 months old, they completed the strange-situation procedure (Ainsworth et al., 1978). This procedure consists of a series of episodes in which the mother and her infant are separated and reunited. The procedure is designed to activate the infant’s attachment system (see Ainsworth et al., 1978, for a full description). This procedure was videotaped, and the tapes were coded by E. Carlson and L. A. Sroufe at the University of Minnesota. Eight percent of infants were classified as avoidant, 67% as secure, 5% as resistant, and 20% as disorganized.

### Infant temperament at 5 months.

Mothers reported their infant’s temperament using the Infant Behavior Questionnaire—Revised (IBQ-R; Garstein & Rothbart, 2003) so we could determine whether baseline RSA was associated with hypothesized indices of engagement with the environment. The IBQ-R consists of 191 items on 14 scales. The IBQ has demonstrated moderate interrater reliability between caregivers ($r = .30-.71$) and good internal consistency (Cronbach’s $\alpha = .77-.90$).

### Cumulative risk.

We developed a cumulative risk score to characterize the range of postnatal risk factors to which infants were exposed. Cumulative risk models assume that combinations of risk factors are powerful predictors of developmental outcomes (Sameroff, Seifer, Barocas, Zax, & Greenspan, 1987; Sheinkopf et al., 2007). Infants and their caregivers were assessed with a wide range of measures of development,
behavior, home environment, and caregiver characteristics chosen a priori based on developmental theory (Table 1).

**Problem behavior at 17 months.** The Brief Infant-Toddler Social and Emotional Assessment (BITSEA; Briggs-Gowan & Carter, 2002) is a 42-item measure designed to evaluate symptoms of social and emotional problems and competence in children 1 to 3 years old. The BITSEA has demonstrated acceptable test-retest reliability (αs = .85–.87; Briggs-Gowan, Carter, Irwin, Wachtel, & Cicchetti, 2004). We used children’s total problem scores on the BITSEA, completed by mothers when their child was approximately 17 months old, to measure individual differences in toddler levels of social and emotional problems.

**Results**

**Preliminary analyses**

Relations between baseline RSA and temperament were examined to test the hypothesis that baseline RSA is reflective of both sensitivity to and engagement with environmental conditions. Baseline RSA was significantly and positively correlated with the following IBQ-R scales: Duration of Orienting, Perceptual Sensitivity, and Vocal Reactivity—these correlations provided support for our hypothesis (rs = .223–.229, ps < .05). To validate our measure of attachment security as an index of the type of environment in which the child was raised, we examined the relation between attachment security and cumulative risk. An independent-samples t test revealed that infants raised in an environment that fostered disorganization had risk indices that were significantly higher (M = 3.11, SD = 1.49) than the risk indices of infants raised in an environment that fostered security (M = 1.76, SD = 1.43), t(74) = −3.47, p < .001; this finding supports the theory that a disorganized attachment classification is reflective of an environment of greater instability during the early months of life than a secure attachment classification does.2 We then tested associations between baseline RSA and additional covariates. There were no significant associations between baseline RSA and gender (r = −.05, p = .63) or between baseline RSA and age (r = −.13, p = .20). Finally, the independence of baseline RSA and attachment classification was analyzed. A simple linear regression revealed that there were no significant differences in baseline RSA between infants raised in environments that fostered security and infants raised in environments that fostered disorganization, b = 0.10, p = .40.

**Differential susceptibility analyses**

Regression models were used to test the main effects of cumulative risk, infant baseline RSA, and attachment classification on problem-behavior scores at 17 months (assessed using the BITSEA). The regression models revealed no significant association between problem behavior and cumulative risk (b = 0.06, p = .58), baseline RSA (b = 0.06, p = .62), or attachment security (b = 0.10, p = .45).

Our next model tested the interaction between infant baseline RSA and attachment classification (secure vs. disorganized) to determine whether this interaction predicted problem behavior at 17 months. As Table 2 shows, cumulative risk, infant baseline RSA, and attachment classification (secure vs. disorganized) were entered in Step 1 of the linear regression model, and the interaction between infant baseline RSA (grand mean centered) and attachment classification was entered in Step 2. With all predictors entered simultaneously, neither cumulative risk, baseline RSA, nor attachment classification predicted variability in problem behavior. However, there was a significant interaction between infant baseline RSA and attachment classification, b = 0.36, p = .01, (ΔR² = .09, p = .01).

We used the online computational tools provided by Preacher, Curran, and Bauer (2006; http://www.quantpsy.org/interact/nlreg2.htm) to clarify the nature of this interaction. The simple slopes of baseline RSA were calculated at 1 standard deviation above and below the mean. As Figure 1 shows, there was no significant difference in problem behavior between infants with low baseline RSA who were raised in environments that fostered disorganization, b = 0.10, p = .45.

Of

Table 2. Results of the Hierarchical Regression Predicting Problem Behavior at 17 Months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step and predictor</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 1: F(3, 69) = 0.30, p = .82, R² = .01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative risk</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>[−1.51, 1.28]</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>t(68) = −0.19</td>
<td>.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant-attachment classification (secure vs. disorganized)</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>[−3.04, 6.73]</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>t(68) = 0.75</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline RSA (natural-log-transformed)</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>[−3.04, 5.08]</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>t(68) = 0.50</td>
<td>.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2: F(4, 68) = 1.96, p = .11, R² = .10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative risk</td>
<td>−0.42</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>[−1.14, 3.005]</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>t(67) = −0.62</td>
<td>.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant-attachment classification (secure vs. disorganized)</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>[−3.28, 6.12]</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>t(67) = 0.60</td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline RSA (natural-log-transformed)</td>
<td>−1.94</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>[−6.43, 2.56]</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>t(67) = −0.86</td>
<td>.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Attachment × Baseline RSA</td>
<td>11.44</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>[2.73, 20.16]</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>t(67) = 2.62</td>
<td>.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CI = confidence interval; RSA = respiratory sinus arrhythmia.
About the early forms of differential susceptibility and whether these early markers of susceptibility are related to later problems in adaptation. This is the first study to identify a neuro-biological marker of susceptibility that already by 5 months of life is sensitive to experience. These data both support and extend the theories of differential susceptibility and biological sensitivity to context in several important respects.

First, we have identified a physiological marker of susceptibility that is operational at 5 months when the stress response is forming and potentially most malleable to both negative and positive environmental input (Ellis et al., 2011; Pluess & Belsky, 2011). Second, high baseline RSA has previously been conceptualized as a protective factor in environments of risk (e.g., marital conflict, prenatal substance exposure). When capitalizing on the full variability of environmental conditions—both positive and negative—we found instead that high baseline RSA may be better viewed as a susceptibility factor (Belsky & Pluess, 2009; Ellis et al., 2011). Third, we have extended the theory of differential susceptibility by investigating whether infants with susceptible traits can reap the benefits of a positive caregiving environment despite living in poverty. Although all infants in this study were reared in poverty, those with high baseline RSA raised in caregiving environments that fostered disorganization fared worse than comparably susceptible infants raised in caregiving environments of security and infants with low baseline RSA who were reared in environments of disorganization.

Most surprising to us was the detection of a biobehavioral susceptibility factor that operated in a “for better and for worse” manner, despite sample-wide levels of significant socioeconomic disadvantage. Although born into disadvantaged conditions, infants with high baseline RSA who were raised in contexts of security were buffered from the deleterious effects of the broader environmental context. This finding supports Propper’s (2012) hypothesis that family functioning and support can mediate the effect of poverty on developmental outcomes, and it speaks to the idea that poverty is not a uniform stressor, particularly for children raised by nurturing caregivers (Miller et al., 2011). For the developing infant who is particularly susceptible to environmental influences (i.e., one who has high baseline RSA), the best possible environment is one of support, whereby the infant learns to regulate using a sensitive, responsive teacher. Presumably, this physiological susceptibility enables these infants to be more attuned to—and affected by—a sensitive caregiver who aids the infant to self-regulate despite being raised with fewer economic resources. In fact, our results suggest that infants with high baseline RSA who were raised in environments that fostered security had the lowest levels of problem behavior, even falling below the community norms reported elsewhere (Briggs-Gowan & Carter, 2002).

In contrast, infants with high RSA who were raised in caregiving environments characterized as disorganized were faced with two obstacles: an insensitive caregiver and poverty. If high baseline RSA reflects greater engagement with the
environment, then this group of infants were presumably more engaged with—and responsive to—negative parenting experiences. This engagement might have adaptive significance. Pluess and Belsky (2011) speculate that, in the context of exceptionally harmful environments, the neonate learns to allocate more attentional resources in the form of increased vigilance. Under such suboptimal rearing conditions, high baseline RSA may lead to the consolidation of coping strategies that portend problems later in development. In fact, Ellis and colleagues (2011) argue that infants reared in stressful environments are not vulnerable or resilient per se, but instead learn to adapt—for better or for worse—to this harmful environment through increased vigilance, which may come at a cost, as evidenced by increased problem behavior. These infants are most likely deprived of the conditions needed to acquire and consolidate effective self-regulatory capacities. Instead, they might rely more heavily on maladaptive physiological mechanisms to regulate, which could lead to the chronic overactivation of these physiological systems and subsequent significant mental- and physical-health problems (Shonkoff et al., 2009). By the time these infants become children, they will have to depend on maladaptive methods of coping, which could potentially lead to an increase in problem behavior (Fearon, Bakermans-Kranenburg, Van IJzendoorn, Lapsley, & Roisman, 2010). In the sample analyzed in the present study, infants raised in environments that fostered a disorganized attachment with high baseline RSA fared the worst, as shown by problem-behavior scores that were far above clinical risk indices.

There were no differences in problem behavior among infants with low baseline RSA from either secure or disorganized caregiving environments. These infants typically are not as responsive to environmental variations—positive or negative (Ellis et al., 2011; Pluess & Belsky, 2011). In the present study, however, both groups of infants with low baseline RSA had problem-behavior scores that were above the mean for community samples (Briggs-Gowan & Carter, 2002); this finding suggests that they were exhibiting significant levels of problem behavior. Our results sharpen the theory of differential susceptibility by raising the possibility that less-susceptible infants can still be affected by broader environmental factors. For infants with low baseline RSA, poverty may be a more powerful predictor of problem behavior than the immediate parenting context. In this group of infants, the increased stress of living in poverty combined with a physiological profile that made them less susceptible to environmental influences may have affected their coping abilities, which resulted in higher levels of problem behavior.

Although this study provides some answers about how risk may be mitigated in contexts of poverty and whether physiological differential-susceptibility processes are at work in infancy, it also raises further questions. First, the durability of the independence between baseline RSA and quality of the early caregiving environment as indexed by attachment is unclear. Although the two were independent in this sample, it is possible that, with canalization and development, the association could become stronger. This reasoning fits with the theory of differential susceptibility; people are born with biological susceptibilities, but these susceptibilities might develop with environmental input and experience. If true, this is precisely the type of plasticity that developmentalists and interventionists are seeking (Boyce, 2006). Another limitation of these data is that we only had maternal report of infant temperament. Thus, laboratory observations of negative emotionality may be related to infant baseline RSA, a possibility that should be explored in future studies.

This study is the first to identify a biological marker of susceptibility to environmental influences in infancy, when the infant is learning through interactions with caregivers how to effectively respond and cope with environmental demands. High baseline RSA was predictive of later adaptation; it appeared to buffer certain infants from the effects of poverty if they were raised in contexts defined by supportive, sensitive caregiving. Among infants developing in the context of poverty, the ability to successfully adapt and regulate at biological and behavioral levels in response to a multitude of environmental pressures appears to differentiate children who develop more successfully from those who develop less successfully (Propper, 2012). We believe that early identification and prevention of risk is of extreme importance given the plasticity of the neurobiological stress response (Beauchaine et al., 2008). By identifying those children who are most vulnerable to developing problem behavior given biological and environmental risk factors early in life, it may be possible to halt the progression of psychological disorder.
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Notes
1. One issue regarding the calculation of RSA is whether one infers to be respiration actually is respiration (rather than chest-wall movements or nonrespiratory chest movements). We included tidal volume and respiration as controls in all analyses, but we report findings without these physiological control measures because including them did not change our results.
2. We included cumulative risk and the interaction between cumulative risk and baseline RSA as predictors in our regression models. Because the inclusion of these covariates did not substantially change our results, we do not report these findings.
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